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#### Abstract

Aziridinations of the allylic alcohol-bearing $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters $\mathbf{6}$ and 7 and their corresponding acetates 8 and 9 have been carried out under standard conditions using 3-acetoxyaminoquinazolinone 2 . Whereas the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity in aziridination of allyl alcohol 6 is inverted by comparison with its acetate 8 , the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity is retained in aziridination of allylic alcohol 7 by comparison with its acetate 9 . A mechanism is proposed for aziridinations of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated esters in which Michael addition of the $\mathbf{N}$-acetoxy nitrogen to the $\beta$-position of the ester runs ahead of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ 2-type nucleophilic displacement of the acetoxy group from nitrogen.


3-Acetoxyaminoquinazolinones e.g. 2 ( QNHOAc ) are aziridinating agents for both electrophilic and nucleophilic alkenes (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1}$ Inversion at the exocyclic nitrogen in QNHOAc 2


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
is slow on the NMR time scale but fast on the time scale for aziridination of alkenes. ${ }^{2}$ The transition state geometry for aziridination of methyl acrylate with QNHOAc $\mathbf{2}$ is believed to resemble 3 (Scheme 2) with the quinazolinone and ester group

cis in the kinetically-formed product 4 but trans in the thermodynamically more stable isolated product 5 as a result of inversion at the aziridine ring nitrogen. ${ }^{1}$

The attractive interaction between the ester group and the quinazolinone ring which gives rise to less stable aziridine cisinvertomer 4 is assumed to be between the ester carbonyl oxygen and the quinazolinone carbonyl carbon (dotted line in 3) with the ester in the s-cis conformation. Interestingly, attempted aziridination using QNHOAc 2 of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactones, whose $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl unit must exist in the s-trans conformation, gave no aziridine products at all. ${ }^{1}$ It appears therefore that the existence of the secondary interaction, i.e. one not leading to bonding in the product, between the two carbonyl groups in the transition state, is vital


Fig. 1
to ensure primary interaction leading to formation of the aziridine ring.

Although inversion at the exocyclic nitrogen is fast on the time-scale of aziridination using QNHOAc 2, there are still two positions in the transition state which can be taken up by the H and by OAc of the NHOAc group. These positions can be loosely described as the OAc group being syn or anti to the quinazolinone carbonyl group (Fig. 1) although the precise orientations of H and OAc are unknown.

In the transition state for these aziridinations, elimination of the elements of acetic acid and formation of the 3-membered ring are analogous to the well-known Bartlett mechanism of epoxidation using peroxyacids (Fig. 1). We have shown elsewhere ${ }^{3}$ that for cyclohexenols, epoxidation using peroxyacids and aziridination using QNHOAc 2 give quantitatively similar syn-stereoselectivity (Scheme 3). In both cases, the origin of this


Scheme 3 Reagents: i, QNHOAc 2; ii, $\mathrm{RCO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$
stereoselectivity is ascribed to hydrogen bonding between the allylic hydroxy group and the acetoxy oxygen and hence syndelivery of the oxygen/(Q)-nitrogen of the 3 -membered ring.

Using 3-acetoxyaminoquinazolinones with chiral 2-substituents, high or even complete diastereoselectivity is possible in aziridination of prochiral alkenes, particularly in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (Scheme 4). ${ }^{4}$


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
One of the goals in this work is to bring about complete (reagent-controlled) diastereoselectivity in aziridination of a range of prochiral alkenes by the use of enantiopure 3acetoxyaminoquinazolinones bearing chiral 2 -substituents (Scheme 4). A complete description of the transition state for the aziridination would allow rational design of the substituents comprising this chiral centre for maximisation of this diastereoselectivity. Ring-opening of the resulting enantiopure aziridines followed by removal of the quinazolinone ring by reductive cleavage of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond could provide a range of chirons including amino acids. ${ }^{5}$

Clearly, for a complete description of the transition state, the preference, if any, for a syn- or anti-disposition of the OAc group according to Fig. 1 is required. Thus a preference for an anti-configuration would mean that the acetoxy group may be close enough to the quinazolinone chiral 2 -substituent to affect the site preferences of its three component atoms/groups. To examine whether such a preference exists, we prepared and carried out aziridinations of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters 6 and 7 bearing an allylic alcohol function in the $\gamma$ position and on the $\alpha$-substituent, respectively. ${ }^{6}$ If there is a requirement in the aziridination transition state for an anti-relationship between the acetoxy group and the quinazolinone carbonyl then only with the $\gamma$-hydroxycrotonate $\mathbf{6}$ is hydrogen bonding possible [Fig. 2(a)] always assuming that the secondary interaction between the ester and quinazolinone is conserved [dotted line in Fig. 2(a)]. Conversely if a syn-relationship is required, then hydrogen bonding is possible only with the $\alpha$-hydroxymethylacrylate 7 [Fig. 2(b)]. $\dagger$
We also prepared the two acetates 8 and 9 from the alcohols 6 and 7 respectively and carried out aziridinations on them using QNHOAc 2. In these aziridinations, two and one new chiral centres are created from compounds 6 (and 8) and 7 (and 9) respectively and so the formation of diastereoisomers is anticipated. Although three new chiral centres are present in aziridines derived from alcohol 6 and its acetate 8, the configurations of the two centres on the aziridine ring are always related since aziridinations of configured alkenes using QNHOAc 2 are stereospecific with retention of the alkene configuration in the aziridine product.
Whilst hydrogen bonding is conceivable in the transition state for aziridination of either compound $\mathbf{6}$ or 7 [or both: Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)]$ it would be absent in aziridination of the respective acetates $\mathbf{8}$ and 9 . It was thought that a comparison of the diastereoselectivities obtained in aziridinations of compounds 6-9 might provide evidence for the presence of hydrogen bonding in the transition states for aziridinations of alcohols 6 and/or 7: the presence of such hydrogen bonding either for the case of $\mathbf{6}$ or 7 (but not both) might indicate the
$\dagger$ In these transition states in Fig. 2, we assume that hydrogen bonding is to the N -bound oxygen of the ester but hydrogen bonding to the ester carbonyl oxygen has not been excluded.
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presence of a (stereoelectronic) preference for the location of the N -acetoxy group in the absence of such hydrogen bonding i.e. it might be applicable to aziridinations of any alkene and not just those bearing allylic hydroxy groups.
Aziridinations of alcohols 6 and 7 and their corresponding acetates $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ were carried out using solutions of QNHOAc 2, prepared under standard conditions at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichloromethane (see Experimental), adding the allylic alcohol or allylic acetate and allowing the solution to warm to ambient temperature. The ratios of aziridine diastereoisomers obtained were measured by integration of appropriate signals in the NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures and are summarised in Schemes 5 and 6. The only by-product in these


Scheme 5 Reagents and conditions: i, QNHOAc 2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\longrightarrow$ RT; ii, DMSO, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$; iii, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; iv, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine
aziridinations is the quinazolin- $4(3 H)$-one $\mathbf{1 0}(\mathrm{QH})$ which is the major decomposition product of QNHOAc 2 in the absence of any alkene or in the presence of an insufficiently reactive alkene. Assignments of signals in the NMR spectra of the crude products were facilitated by separation of pure diastereoisomers of all compounds in Schemes 5 and 6, except for the minor acetates 14 and 20 , either by crystallisation of the crude reaction product or by chromatography. Fortunately, all of the diastereoisomers in Schemes 5 and 6, except for aziridine ketones 13 and 18, exist as single $N$-invertomers in solution and
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Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: i, QNHOAc 2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\longrightarrow R T$; ii, DMSO, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$; iii, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; iv, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine
this facilitates measurement of the diastereoisomer ratios in the crude reaction mixtures by NMR spectroscopy. Acetylation of the pure aziridine alcohols (or mixture of aziridine alcohols) also served to interrelate these alcohols and acetates in Schemes 5 and 6. That the alcohols $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ were, as expected, epimeric at the hydroxy-substituted carbon was confirmed by oxidation (Swern) of the pure alcohol 11 to the ketone 13 and then reduction $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 3}$ to give a mixture of alcohols 11 and 12. An analogous procedure established the epimeric relationship between alcohols 16 and 17.

The stereostructures of all aziridines in Schemes 5 and 6 were proved by X-ray crystal structure determinations on the major aziridine alcohols $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $16^{6}$ and by the chemical correlations referred to above. In addition, for all aziridines in Schemes 5 and 6 (except ketones 13 and 18) there was a correlation in their NMR spectra between the chemical shift positions of the methine proton on the hydroxy-bearing carbon (for the aziridine alcohols) or on the acetoxy-bearing carbon (for the aziridine acetates) and their relative configurations. Thus these methine protons in alcohols 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}$ resonate at $\delta 3.25$ and 4.35 and in acetates $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ at $\delta 4.82$ and 5.37 respectively. For the alcohols 16 and 17, the corresponding chemical shifts of the methine protons are $\delta 4.6$ and 3.15 and for acetates 19 and $20 \delta 5.95$ and 5.31 respectively. The ordering of these chemical shift positions is consistent with the presence in solution for e.g. alcohols $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ of predominant conformations 11' and $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$ in which the isopropyl group occupies the least sterically hindered position and consequently the methine proton is endo and shielded by the aziridine ring (in 11') or exo and unshielded (in $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}$ ). For the alcohols in Schemes 5 and 6 there is also a similar correlation between hydroxy-bearing carbon configuration and chemical shift position for the hydroxy protons (see $11^{\prime}$ and 12').


We have also carried out aziridinations using QNHOAc 2 of the allylic hydroxy-substituted $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters 21-23 and of the acetate 24 (Scheme 7). The ratios of the corresponding aziridines formed are given in Scheme 7 and the assignments of relative configuration to the major and minor aziridine alcohol diastereoisomers in each case were made from


Scheme 7 Reagents and conditions: i, QNHOAc $2 \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\longrightarrow$ RT


Fig. 3
the chemical shift of the methine proton at the hydroxy-bearing carbon and using its correlation with relative configuration established for aziridine alcohols in Schemes 5 and 6. In aziridination of acetate 24 , only diastereoisomer 31 was isolated ( $21 \%$ ) after chromatography; its relative configuration was established by acetylation of aziridine alcohol 29 .

## Discussion

There is a striking difference in the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity in aziridination of the alcohols by comparison with their acetates between Scheme 5 and Scheme 6. Thus whereas the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity is inverted in aziridines from alcohol $\mathbf{6}$ by comparison with those from its acetate $\mathbf{8}(2.5: 1 \longrightarrow 1: 3)$, it is retained in aziridines from alcohol 7 by comparison with those from its acetate 9 ( $6: 1 \longrightarrow 10: 1$ ). A comparison of the ratios of diastereoisomers in Schemes 5, 6 and 7 shows that a reduction in the bulk of the alkyl group at the hydroxy-bearing carbon leads to a reduction in diastereoselectivity in formation of the aziridines. Retention of the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity is also observed for aziridination of allylic alcohol 22 by comparison with its acetate 24.

To rationalise these changes in the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity we make the following proposals for the mechanism of aziridination of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters by QNHOAc 2; the reaction, shown diagrammatically in Fig. 3, comprises a Michael addition by the NHOAc nitrogen to the $\beta$-carbon of the ester and a nucleophilic displacement of
the NHOAc acetoxy which is $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-like. The Michael addition component of this mechanism accounts for the requirement for an s -cis conformation of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester referred to earlier: secondary interaction between the ester carbonyl oxygen and the quinazolinone carbonyl carbon activates the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester toward this Michael addition but this secondary interaction is only feasible when the ester is in the s -cis conformation. There are now a number of examples of bimolecular nucleophilic substitution at nitrogen which proceed with inversion of configuration i.e. in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-mode. ${ }^{7}$ Although the aziridination in Fig. 3 is considered to proceed via a single transition state, Michael addition is assumed to be running slightly ahead of the displacement of acetoxy.

Applying this mechanism to aziridination of alcohols 6 and 7, it is clear that hydrogen bonding in the transition state is possible with the $\gamma$-hydroxycrotonate 6 [Fig. 4(a)] but not for $\alpha$-hydroxymethylacrylate 7 [Fig. 4(b)]. In aziridination of the corresponding acetates 8 and 9 the corresponding transition states for formation of the major diastereoisomers are believed to be as depicted in Fig. $4(c)$ and (d). Formation of the major aziridine diastereoisomer 11 [Fig. $4(a)$ ] is the result of a preference of the isopropyl group for the less-hindered 'outside' position when the hydroxy group is hydrogen bonded as shown. In aziridination of the allylic acetate $\mathbf{8}$ [Fig. $4(c)]$, however, the acetoxy group will take up a position anti to the $\sigma$ bond which is being formed to maximise $\sigma^{*}-\pi^{*}$ interaction and thus lower the LUMO energy level of the alkene (Felkin Ahn) $\ddagger^{+8}$ Again the isopropyl group takes up an outside position preferentially and thus the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity in Fig. 4(c) is opposite to that obtained in Fig. 4(a).

To account for the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity in aziridination of alcohol 7 which is the same as its acetate 9 , similar transition states are proposed [Fig. $4(b)$ and $(d)$ ] but with OAc replacing OH . In these cases, the isopropyl group is not only in the sterically least hindered position but also can interact with the HOMO of the alkene, raising its level by $\sigma-\pi$ interaction (nucleophilic displacement of the acetoxy group from nitrogen is construed as overlap of the HOMO of the alkene with the LUMO of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OAc}$ bond). With the isopropyl group in this position, the preferred sense of diastereoselectivity arises from the placement of the hydroxy or acetoxy group 'inside' as in Fig. $4(b)$ and (d) rather than 'outside' as in Fig. 5(a).

The high preference of the hydroxy/acetoxy group for the 'inside' position is intriguing. Stabilisation of the 'outside'positioned hydroxy by hydrogen bonding as in Fig. 5(b) would not be expected: in addition to the normal ester resonance which reduces the basicity of the methoxy oxygen of the ester, activation towards Michael addition by the secondary interaction referred to earlier will further diminish the basicity of this oxygen. This preference for the 'inside' position by the hydroxy/acetoxy is analogous to the same preference of an alkoxy group in a variety of electrophilic reactions on double bonds. ${ }^{9}$ It is possible that, when it does not enter into hydrogen bonding, the hydroxy group resembles the alkoxy group and exhibits a similar preference for the 'inside' position in the electrophilic component of this aziridination (displacement of the acetoxy group by the alkene). It is also possible that, for compounds like the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 7, there is a conformational preference for an inside location of the hydroxy group and aziridination takes place largely from this more abundant conformation. The work of Gung et al. ${ }^{10}$ has shown that such a conformational preference exists in $\gamma$-hydroxycrotonates (like 6) with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond of the alcohol eclipsing the double bond of the alkene (such a preference must be overridden in aziridination of compound 6 when the hydroxy group is involved in hydrogen bonding).
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Fig. 4
Of relevance to the work described in this paper is the high diastereoselectivity obtained in aziridination of enantiopure $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters e.g. 33 by oxidative addition of $N$ aminophthalimide 34 using lead tetraacetate (Scheme 8). ${ }^{11}$ The preferred sense of this diastereoselectivity can now be accounted for with the transition state model $\mathbf{3 5}$ § analogous to that previously used for 3 -acetoxyaminoquinazolinonemediated aziridinations of $\gamma$-acetoxy- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters [Fig. 4(c)]. In this transition state, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ acetal oxygen at the chiral centre occupies the activating anti-position to the
§ In this work (ref. 11) the reactive intermediate was formulated as phthalimidonitrene but in view of our subsequent work (R. S. Atkinson, D. W. Jones and B. J. Kelly, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans l, 1991, 1344) there is little doubt that it is in fact $N$-acetoxyaminophthalimide analogous to QNHOAc 2.
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Scheme 8
incoming nucleophile and the hydrogen at this chiral centre is in the less sterically hindered 'inside' position.

The conclusion from this study, therefore, is that in aziridination of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters using QNHOAc 2 there is a stereoelectronic requirement for an anti-relationship between the N -acetoxy group and the quinazolinone carbonyl group with a transition state resembling that in Fig. 1 (anti). Although the evidence for this transition state has been adduced from a study of allyl hydroxy-bearing $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters it is likely that it applies to others lacking the hydrogen bonding hydroxy group [cf. the aziridination of compound 7: Fig. 4(b)].

One of the major differences between epoxidation of alkenes usingperoxyacidsand aziridination using 3-acetoxyaminoquinazolinones is the lack of reactivity of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters towards peroxyacids. The transition states and mechanisms shown in Fig. 4 account for this difference in reactivity: secondary interaction between the ester and the quinazolinone ring activates the $\beta$-position towards Michael addition, an activation not possible using peroxyacids.

## Experimental

For instrumentation and general experimental details see refs. 2, 5 and 12 . Unless otherwise indicated, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ using tetramethylsilane as internal standard and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra at 75 MHz in the same solvent. Assignments of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances were assisted by the use of DEPT. IR Spectra were recorded using Nujol mulls unless otherwise indicated. Mass spectra were obtained using a Kratos Concept mass spectrometer: except for the molecular ion $\mathbf{M}^{+}$, only peaks $>20 \%$ of the base peaks are given. High resolution masses were obtained by peak-matching using perfluorokero-
sene. Dichloromethane was dried before use by distillation from calcium hydride. Dimethyl sulfoxide was dried over Linde $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Oxalyl chloride was used as a solution ( 2.0 m ) in dichloromethane supplied by Aldrich. Light petroleum refers to the fraction bp $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ether refers to diethyl ether. Drying of organic solutions was carried out using magnesium sulfate.
$(E)$-Methyl 4-hydroxy-5-methylhex-2-enoate 6 and ( $E$ )methyl 4-hydroxyhex-2-enoate 21 were prepared by literature methods. ${ }^{13}$ For the (previously unreported) NMR spectrum of 6: $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 90 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.0\left(\mathrm{dd}, J 15\right.$ and $\left.6, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 6.1$ (dd, $J 15$ and $\left.1, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 4.1(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6$ and $\simeq 6$, $\mathrm{CHOH}), 3.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.6(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHMe}{ }_{2}\right)$ and 1.0 (d, J6, CHMe) ${ }_{2}$. Methyl (E)-5-methyl-4-acetoxyhex-2-enoate 8 was prepared from the corresponding alcohol (2 g) using pyridine $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and acetic anhydride $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After 4 h , the mixture was poured into ice-water $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, stirred for 20 min then extracted with dichloromethane $\left(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layers were separated, washed with aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ then water ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. Distillation of the residue gave the acetate 8 , bp $86-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{mmHg})$ (lit., ${ }^{14} \mathrm{bp}$ not given); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 90 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.85\left(\mathrm{dd}, J 15\right.$ and $\left.6, \mathrm{C} H=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 6.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J 15, \mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 5.3(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6$ and $c a .6, \mathrm{CHOAc}), 3.8(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.0(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHMe} 2)$ and $0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J 6$, $\mathrm{CHMe} 2_{2}$ ). Methyl 3-hydroxy-4-methylpent-1-ene-2-carboxylate 7 was prepared by the literature method ${ }^{15}$ and purified by chromatography over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $4: 1$ ) as eluent ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.45$ ) ( $33 \%$ yield). For the (previously unreported) NMR spectrum of $7 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 90 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.2(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 5.8(\mathrm{HCH}=\mathrm{C}), 4.1(\mathrm{~d}, J 9, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.8$ [br s, $\mathrm{OH}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exch. $)$ ], $2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)$ and $1.0(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6$ and ca. 6, $\mathrm{CHMe} 2_{2}$ ). Methyl 3-hydroxy-5-methylhex-1-ene-2-carboxylate 22 and methyl 3-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2-carboxylate 23 were prepared by the literature method ${ }^{15}$ and the products used without further purification. Methyl 3-acetoxy-5-methylhex-1-ene-2-carboxylate 24 was prepared from the corresponding alcohol $20(2.2 \mathrm{~g})$ using pyridine $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and acetic anhydride ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) by the method given above. After work-up the acetate was purified by distillation, bp $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.5 \mathrm{mmHg})(66 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 90 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, H \mathrm{HCH}=\mathrm{C}), 5.67(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{HCH}=\mathrm{C}), 5.51$ (br m, CHOAc), 3.63 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}$ superimposed on $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), 1.59 (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ ) and $0.96\left(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6, \mathrm{CHM} e_{2}\right)$.

## General procedure for aziridinations using QNHOAc 2

To a well-stirred solution of dry dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added powdered lead tetraacetate (LTA) ( 1.23 g , 2.8 mmol ) in one portion. After the LTA had dissolved, the mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before dropwise addition over ca. 6 min of 3-amino-2-ethylquinazolin-4(3H)-one $1(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65$ mmol ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The resulting slurry was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 5 min before addition of the alkene ( 1.4 mol equiv.) in one portion. After 2 min at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirring throughout. Lead diacetate was separated, the dichloromethane solution washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) then water ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried and the solvent removed under reduced pressure.

## Aziridination of alcohol 6

A solution of QNHOAc 2 was prepared by the procedure given above and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester $6(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. After reaction and work up, the crude product [containing a 2.5:1 ratio of diastereoisomers 11 and 12 from integration comparison of peaks in the NMR at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.75$ and 3.86 (see below)] was triturated with cold dry ether $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give an offwhite solid. The solid material was filtered and found to be a mixture ( $3: 1$ ) of the major aziridine diastereoisomer 11 with quinazolin- $4(3 H)$-one 10 respectively, from comparison of the signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.311$ and 10.9 10. Crystallisation from ethanol
gave only rel-(1'S,2S,3S)-methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-3-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 11 (major diastereoisomer) as a colourless solid ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ ), mp 101-103 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 62.5; H, 6.65; N, 12.2. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.15 \%)$; $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3410 \mathrm{~m}, 1740 \mathrm{~s}$, 1660 s and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.2[\mathrm{dd}, J 8.1$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.7$ [ddd, $J$ $8.1,7$ and $1,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.6[\mathrm{~d}, J 8.1,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4$ [ddd, $J 8.1,7$ and $1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 5.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exch.), 3.75 (dd, $J 8.8$ and 5 , azir. $3-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.69 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.3 (d, $J 5$, azir. 2-H), 3.25 (dd, $J$ 8.8 and $2.9, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.05\left[\mathrm{dq},\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 16.5\right.$ and $J_{\mathrm{AX}} 7.3$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHH}\right], 2.7$ [dq, $\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 16.5$ and $\left.J_{\mathrm{AX}} 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHH}\right]$, $1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8\right.$ lines visible, $J 6.7, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), $1.4\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} 6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$; $m / z(\%) 345\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 30\right), 302(35), 272(41), 200(39), 175(32), 174$ (100), 173 (52), 131 (59) and 130 (43). An X-ray crystal structure determination was obtained for this diastereoisomer ${ }^{6}$ from a crystal grown in ethanol which confirmed its $2 S, 3 S, \mathrm{CHOH}-(S)$ relative configuration.

The ether filtrate after trituration above was concentrated under reduced pressure and the resulting oil was chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent. More of the major aziridine diastereoisomer 11 above ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.29$ ) was eluted ( $0.069 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%$ ) followed by rel-( $1^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, 2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}$ )methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-3-(1-hydroxy-2-methyl-propyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 12 (minor diastereoisomer) which was isolated as a colourless solid ( $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.19\right)(0.126 \mathrm{~g}$, $14 \%$ ), mp $140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 67.5; H, 6.7; $\mathrm{N}, 12.15 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C, $67.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.15 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3530 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1745 \mathrm{~s}, 1670 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.2[\mathrm{dd}, J 8$ and $1.1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.7[\mathrm{ddd}, J 8,7$ and $1.1,7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.6[\mathrm{~d}, J 8,8-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.43$ [ddd, $J 8,7$ and $1.1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.33(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHOH}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ shake gives a dd, $J$ ca. 5 and 1), 3.86 (br d, azir. 3-H), 3.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.58 (d, $J 5.2$, azir. $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.05\left[\mathrm{dq},\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}}\right.$ 16.5 and $\left.J_{\mathrm{Ax}} 7.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHH}\right], 2.75\left[\mathrm{dq},\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 16.5\right.$ and $\left.J_{\mathrm{Ax}} 7.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} H\right], 2.16\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHOH}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.\right.$ exch.) $], 1.9(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), $1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 160.00\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 160.05[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 155.89$ $[\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 145.92[\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 133.59,126.53,126.17,126.09$ $[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 120.93[C \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 70.62(\mathrm{CHOH}), 53.73$ (azir. $\mathrm{C}-2), 52.80\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.51$ (azir. $\left.\mathrm{C}-3\right), 31.63\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right), 26.72$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $16.46,17.55$ and $10.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; m / z(\%) 345\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 31\right), 302(37), 272(40), 200(38)$, $175(32), 174(100), 173(56), 131$ (58) and $130(43)$.

## Swern oxidation of aziridine 11

A 3-necked flask containing a PTFE-coated stirring bar was flame dried and kept under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Oxalyl chloride ( $0.61 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, the flask cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then a solution of DMSO $\left(0.21 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.93\right.$ mmol ) in dry dichloromethane ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) added dropwise with stirring. After 10 min , aziridine $11(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a solution in dry dichloromethane ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise over 5 min keeping the temperature of the stirred solution at $c a$. $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout. After a further 20 min triethylamine ( 0.93 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}, 6.696 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, the cooling bath removed and the solution allowed to reach ambient temperature whereupon the reaction was quenched with water $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic layer was then separated, washed successively with aqueous hydrochloric acid $\left(1 \%, 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, saturated aqueous sodium carbonate ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), saturated brine ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and then dried. After evaporation of the bulk of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was triturated with cold dry ether ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) to give methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-3-(2-methylpropanoyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 13 as colourless crystals ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ), mp 111-112 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 343.153. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 343.153$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1750 \mathrm{~m}, 1710 \mathrm{w}, 1680 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(1.7: 1$ mixture of $N$ invertomers) major $N$-invertomer: 8.05 [dd, $J 7.9$ and 0.8 , $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.65-7.35[\mathrm{~m}, 6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J 4.5$, azir. $3-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.9 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.65 (d, $J 4.5$, azir. $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.2 (m,
$\left.\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right), 2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J 6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $J 6.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ) and 1.13 (d, $J 6.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); minor $N$ invertomer (observable peaks): 8.18 [dd, $J 7.7$ and $1.2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ ], 4.53 (d, $J 4.8$, azir. $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.64 (d, $J 4.8$, azir. $3-\mathrm{H}), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} 6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$; $m / z(\%) 343\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 26\right), 285(12), 284(67), 200(36), 175(24), 174$ (100), 173 (61), 149 (22), 131 (99), 130 (66), 119 (21), 103 (22), 76 (23) and 71 (24).

## Reduction of ketone 13 with sodium borohydride

To the above aziridine ketone $13(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry methanol ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added sodium borohydride ( 0.07 $\mathrm{g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in small portions over 20 min . The reaction's progress was monitored by TLC [light petroleum-ethyl acetate (4:1)] and after 30 min , when no starting material remained, the crude reaction mixture was poured into water $\left(60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Excess methanol was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure and the residual aqueous solution extracted with dichloromethane $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the dichloromethane solution washed with water $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and dried. After concentration under reduced pressure the clear waxy oil obtained $(0.238 \mathrm{~g}$, $58 \%$ ) was found to contain a $2.1: 1$ mixture of aziridine alcohol diastereoisomers 11 and 12 from NMR spectral comparison with the mixture obtained from aziridination of allylic alcohol 6 (see above) and from integration of the signals at $\delta 3.33$ and 3.58 for aziridine $2-\mathrm{H}$ in 11 and 12 , respectively.

## Aziridination of acetate 8

The aziridination procedure above was followed using $1(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$, LTA ( 0.985 g ) and acetate $8(0.593 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv.) in dichloromethane $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The crude product, which contained a 3:1 mixture of aziridine diastereoisomers $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ [from integration comparison of the signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.89$ and 4.2 in its NMR spectrum (see below)] was triturated with cold dry ether ( 1 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) to give an off-white solid which was separated and proved to be quinazolin- $4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one $\mathbf{1 0}(0.066 \mathrm{~g})$. The ether filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent. The two aziridine diastereoisomers methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-3-(1-acetoxy-2-methylpropyl)aziridine-2carboxylate 15 and $14\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.38\right)(0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%)$ were co-eluted in a 3.4:1 ratio from comparison of the same signals referred to above in the NMR spectrum of the mixture; $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1745 \mathrm{~s}$, $1670 \mathrm{~s}, 1600 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1560 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ major diastereoisomer $15: 8.21$ [dd, $J$ 8.1 and $1.1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.65-7.4[\mathrm{~m}, 6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$, 5.37 (dd, $J 4.9$ and $3.4, \mathrm{CHOAc}$ ), 3.89 (br m, azir. $3-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.64 (d, J 4.9, azir. 2-H), 3.05-2.75 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHOCOCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right), 1.4\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J7.3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $0.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$; minor aziridine diastereoisomer 14 (observable peaks): 8.2 [dd, $J 8$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.82(\mathrm{dd}, J 7.2$ and $4.8, \mathrm{CHOAc}), 4.2(\mathrm{dd}, J 7.2$ and 4.8, azir. 3-H), 3.63 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.25 (d, J4.8, azir. 2-H), 2.06 $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHOCOCH} 3), 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.05(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 7$ and 7, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z(\%) 387\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5\right), 328(33), 272(44), 268$ (100), 175 (26), 176 (48), 173 (33), 131 (52) and 130 (37).

## Acetylation of aziridines 11 and 12

The crude aziridination product of allylic alcohol 6 containing 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}$ (ratio 2.6:1) was acetylated as described above using pyridine ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and acetic anhydride ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After work-up, the product, containing a ca. 3:1 ratio of diastereoisomers 14 and 15 (from integration comparison of the peaks at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.2$ and 3.89 in its NMR spectrum) was triturated with cold dry ether $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give an off-white solid ( 0.51 g ) which was separated and found to be a $3: 1$ mixture of the aziridine acetate diastereoisomer 14 and quinazolin- $4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one 10 from comparison of peaks at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.25$ and 10.9. This solid was then crystallised from ethanol to give the aziridine diastereoisomer $14(0.39 \mathrm{~g}$, $38 \%$ ) as colourless crystals, mp 115-116 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, $61.9 ; \mathrm{H}$, $6.55 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.8 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.9 \%$ );
$v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1745 \mathrm{~s}, 1670 \mathrm{~s}, 1600 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1560 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.2$ [d, $J 1.8,5-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.65[\mathrm{~m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.41$ [ddd, $J 8,6.9$ and $1.1,6-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.82$ (dd, $J .2$ and $5.7, \mathrm{CHOAc}$ ), 4.2 (dd, $J 7.2$ and 5 , azir. 3-H), 3.63 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.25 (d, $J 5$, azir. $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.1 [dq, $\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 16.7$ and $\left.J_{\mathrm{Ax}} 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHH}\right], 2.76\left[\mathrm{dq},\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}}\right.$ 16.7 and $J_{\mathrm{Ax}} 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHH}$ ], 2.2 (m, $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ and s, CHOCO$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $1.05(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.6$ and 6.6 , $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); $m / z(\%) 387\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5\right), 328$ (33), 272 (44), 268 (100), 175 (26), 174 (48), 173 (33), 131 (52) and 130 (37).

The ether filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed over silica using light petroleumethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent to give a mixture of the same aziridine diastereoisomers $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (ratio now $1: 1.5)\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37\right)$ ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$, overall yield $59 \%$ ). The major component of this mixture $\mathbf{1 5}$ corresponded to the minor diastereoisomer in the crude reaction mixture from acetylation of the aziridine alcohols above with $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.36$ (dd, $J 4.9$ and 3.7, CHOAc), 3.86 (br m, azir. 3-H), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) and 3.63 (d, J4.9, azir. 2-H) and was identical to the major diastereoisomer isolated from aziridination of acetate 8 (see above).

## Aziridination of alcohol 7

A solution of QNHOAc 2 was prepared by the general procedure given above from $1(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol})$ and LTA $(4.93 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.011 mol ) in dichloromethane ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and allylic alcohol 7 $(2.34 \mathrm{~g}, 0.015 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. After reaction and work-up, the crude product [containing a 6.1:1 ratio of aziridine diastereoisomers 16 and 17, respectively, from integration of peaks at $\delta 3.4$ and 3.25 in its NMR spectrum (see below)] was triturated with cold dry ether $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give an off-white solid $(1.21 \mathrm{~g})$ which was separated. NMR Analysis showed this solid was a mixture of aziridine 16 and quinazolin- $4(3 H)$-one 10 (ratio $3.5: 1$ ) from comparison of peaks at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.19$ and 10.9 respectively. Flash chromatography over silica using ethyl acetate-light petroleum $(1: 1)$ as eluent gave methyl 1 -(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)aziridine-2carboxylate 16 (major diastereoisomer) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.48$ ) as a colourless solid ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{121-122}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, $62.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.05 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C, $62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.7$; $\mathrm{N}, 12.15 \%) ; \nu_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3750 \mathrm{w}$ br, $1727 \mathrm{~s}, 1670 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1595 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1$ [dd, $J 8.2$ and $1.2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.7[\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}-7(\mathrm{Q}), \mathrm{H}-8(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4$ [ddd, $J 8.2,8$ and $1.5, \mathrm{H}-6(\mathrm{Q})], 4.6(\mathrm{~d}, J 3.4, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.4 (d, $J 1.2$, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.19 (d, $J 1.2$, azir. $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.0 (q, J 7.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.74 (s, $\mathrm{CHOH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exch.), 2.1 (m, $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), $1.4\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\right.$ ca. $\left.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J c a .7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z(\%) 345\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right), 302(44)$, 273 (22), 270 (100), 214 (69), 200 (45), 189 (38), 175 (28), 174 (88), 173 (83), 158 (49), 131 (95), 130 (67), 119 (29), 103 (22) and 76 (27). An X-ray crystal structure determination of this diastereoisomer ${ }^{6}$ on a crystal grown in ethanol confirmed its $2 S, \mathrm{CHOH}-(S)$ relative configuration. The ether filtrate from the trituration above was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed over silica using ethyl acetate-light petroleum ( $1: 1$ ) as eluent to give more of the major aziridine diastereoisomer $16\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.48\right)(0.155 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%$; overall yield $27 \%$ ).

The minor aziridine diastereoisomer 17 was not eluted from the column; however, signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product were attributable to it at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.54$ (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.25 (s, azir. 3-H), 2.45 (br m, CHMe 2 ) and 1.1 (d, $J$ $6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ). These assignments were confirmed when a pure sample of the aziridine 17 became available from reduction of the aziridine ketone 18 (see below).

## Aziridination of acetate 9

A solution of QNHOAc 2 was prepared by the general procedure given above from $1(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ and LTA ( 1.25 g ) in dichloromethane ( $7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and allylic acetate $9(0.741 \mathrm{~g})$ added. After work-up, the crude product [containing a $10: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers 19:20 from integration comparison of the
peaks at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.95$ and 5.31 in its NMR spectrum (see below)] was chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent to give methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 19 (major diastereoisomer) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.26$ ) as a colourless solid ( 0.21 g , $21 \%$ ), mp 124-125 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 61.7; H, 6.5; $\mathrm{N}, 10.75 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C, $62.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.85 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1745 \mathrm{~s}, 1675 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1$ [dd, $J 8$ and $1.4,5-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.6[\mathrm{~m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4(\mathrm{ddd}, J 8, c a .8$ and $1.3,6-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 5.95$ (d, J3.1, CHOAc), 3.7 (d, J2.4, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.55 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.15 (d, $J 2.4$, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05 [dq, $\left(\mathrm{ABX}{ }_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 14.9$ and $\left.J_{\mathrm{AX}} 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHHQ}\right], 2.85$ [dq, $\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 14.9$ and $J_{\mathrm{AX}}$ 7.3, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} H \mathrm{Q}\right], 2.3\left(\mathrm{~d} \times\right.$ heptet, $J 6.9$ and $3.1, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), 2.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHOCOMe}$ ), 1.35 ( $\mathrm{t}, J$ ca. 7, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), 1.0 (d, J 6.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ) and $0.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 169.91$, $165.72\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHOCOCH} 3\right), 159.69[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 156.65$ $[\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 145.59[\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 133.42,126.52,125.94,125.91$ $[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 120.81 \quad[C \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 70.45(\mathrm{CHOAc}), 52.81$ $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 52.36 (azir. C-2), 46.56 (azir. C-3), 29.75 ( $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ ), $26.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 20.49,19.66$ and $15.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CHOCOCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and $10.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right) ; m / z(\%) 387\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right)$, $270(21), 200(20), 174$ (100), 173 (36), 131 (35) and 130 (24).

The minor aziridine diastereoisomer 20 was not eluted from the column but its characteristic signals could be identified in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CHOCOCH} 3), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.19$ (d, J 1.1, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ), 2.84 (d, $J 1.1$, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), 2.06 (s, $\mathrm{CHOCOC} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ) and $1.44\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right)$. These assignments were confirmed when the same signals were observed in the crude reaction product from acetylation of a mixture containing a less disparate ratio of aziridine alcohols 16 and 17 (see below).

## Acetylation of aziridine alcohols 16 and 17

The crude reaction product (containing a $6: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{1 6 : 1 7}$ ) from aziridination of allylic alcohol 7 with QNHOAc 2 was acetylated using the procedure given earlier. After work-up, the crude product, containing a 5.4:1 ratio of diastereoisomers 16:17 from comparison of the peaks in its NMR spectrum at $\delta$ 5.31 and 3.70 respectively, was chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent to give the major aziridine diastereoisomer $19\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.44\right)$ as colourless crystals $(0.311 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%) \mathrm{mp} 124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). This major aziridine diastereoisomer was identical by NMR comparison with the major aziridine diastereoisomer 19 isolated from aziridination of the allylic acetate 9 described above.

## Swern oxidation of aziridine alcohol 16

Oxidation of 16 was carried out using the procedure described above using oxalyl chloride ( $0.974 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO $\left(0.28 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$, aziridine $16(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $1.22 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 8.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(6.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After work-up the crude product was triturated with cold dry ether $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give a white solid which was separated and then crystallised from ethanol to give methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-2-(2-methylpropanoyl) aziridine-2-carboxylate $18(0.334 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%), \mathrm{mp} 100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, $67.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2$; $\mathrm{N}, 12.15 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.25 \%$ ); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1725 \mathrm{~s}, 1710 \mathrm{w}, 1675 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(3.4: 1$ mixture of $N$-invertomers), major $N$-invertomer: 8.15 [dd, $J 8.1$ and 1, 5$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.69[\mathrm{~m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.43[\mathrm{~m}, 6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 3.9$ (heptet, $J 6.8, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), $3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $3.2(\mathrm{~d}, J 1$, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 3.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 1\right.$, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 1.4$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), $1.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1.2 \mathrm{~d}, J$ $6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); minor invertomer (observable peaks): 8.06 [dd, $J 8$ and $0.9,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ ], $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}$, azir. $3 \beta-$ H), 3.43 (heptet, $J 6.8, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), 3.17 (s, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ), 2.97 (q, $J 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), $1.43\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.5$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ) and $1.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} 6.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 343$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 51\right), 214(23), 174(46), 173$ (27), 131 (100) and $130(38)$.

## Reduction of ketone 18 with sodium borohydride

Reduction was carried out using the procedure described earlier using sodium borohydride ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dry methanol ( 8 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) and aziridine ketone $18(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.875 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product obtained after work up (containing a 1:1.1 ratio of diastereoisomers 16 and 17 from comparison of the peaks in its NMR spectrum at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.4$ and 3.25 respectively) was chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent to give the aziridine diastereoisomer 16 as a white solid ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.68$ ) $(0.145 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%) \mathrm{mp} 121-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) identical with the major diastereoisomer from aziridination of allylic alcohol 7 (see above).

Further elution gave the aziridine alcohol 17 (minor diastereoisomer from aziridination of allylic alcohol 7) $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.59\right)$ as colourless crystals $\left(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%\right.$ ), mp $114-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 62.35; H, 6.75; N, 12.05. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.15 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3475 \mathrm{~s}$ br, 1725 s , 1665 s and $1610 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1[\mathrm{~d}, J c a .8 .2,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.65[\mathrm{~m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$, $8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4$ [ddd, $J 8.2, c a .8$ and $1.5,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.8(\mathrm{~d}, J 2.8$, $\mathrm{CHOH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exch.), 3.6 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.25 (s, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.15 (dd, $J c a .9$ and $2.8, \mathrm{CHOH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exch. to d, $J c a .9$ ), 3.05 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), $2.9\left(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), $2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), $1.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.9(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.8$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z(\%)\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 29\right), 302(62), 273$ (36), 270 (100), 214 (66), 200 (35), 189 (47), 175 (26), 174 (95), 173 (72), 158 (30), 131 (81), 130 (53), 119 (21), 83 (11) and 76 (25).

## Aziridination of allylic alcohol 21

A solution of QNHOAc 2 was prepared from $1(0.563 \mathrm{~g})$ and LTA ( 1.39 g ), in dichloromethane $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ as directed above and allylic alcohol $21(0.6 \mathrm{~g})$ added. After reaction and work-up, the crude product [containing a 1.4:1 ratio of aziridine diastereoisomers 25:26 from integration comparison of the signals in the NMR spectrum at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.75$ and 3.96 (see below)] was triturated with cold dry ether ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) to give a mixture of the major aziridine diastereoisomer 25 and the quinazolin-4$(3 \mathrm{H})$-one 10 in a $4: 1$ ratio as an off-white solid $(0.29 \mathrm{~g})$. Crystallisation from ethanol gave methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-3-(1-hydroxypropyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 25 (major diastereoisomer) ( $0.261 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%$ ) as colourless crystals, mp $116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, $61.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.55$. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.7 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3475 s br, $1745 \mathrm{~s}, 1660 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1575 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.2$ [dd, $J 8$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ ], 7.67 [ddd, $J 8,6.9$ and 1, $7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ ], $7.62[\mathrm{~d}, J 8,8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.44$ [ddd, J 8, 6.9 and $c a .1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.7$ (d, J 1.9, CHOH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exch.), 3.75 (dd, $J 8$ and 5.1, azir. 3-H), 3.65 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.5 $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.3$ (d, $J 5.1$, azir. 2-H), $2.9\left[\mathrm{dq},\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 16.5\right.$ and $\left.J_{\mathrm{AX}} 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} H\right], 2.6\left[\mathrm{dq},\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}\right) J_{\mathrm{AB}} 16.5\right.$ and $J_{\mathrm{AX}} 7.3$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} H$ ], 1.7 (struct. m, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), 1.4 (t, J 7.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) and 1.05 (t, J 7.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}$ ); $m / z(\%) 331$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 43\right), 272(81), 200(47), 175$ (28), 174 (100), 173 (51), 131 (51) and 130 (33).

The ether filtrate after trituration above was evaporated under reduced pressure to give an oily residue which was chromatographed over silica using ether acetate-light petroleum ( $1: 1$ ) as eluent to recover more of the major aziridine diastereoisomer 25 ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.35$ ) ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%$, overall yield $31 \%$ ). The minor aziridine diastereoisomer 26 was not eluted but was identified in the oily residue above from signals in its NMR spectrum at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.24(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.96(\mathrm{br}$ m, azir. $3-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.46(\mathrm{~d}$, $J 5$, azir. 2-H).

## Aziridination of allylic alcohol 22

A solution of QNHOAc 2 was prepared as described above from $1(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ and LTA ( 1.23 g ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and allylic alcohol $22(0.64 \mathrm{~g})$ added. The crude product after the usual work up [containing a 4.3:1 ratio of aziridine diastereoisomers $27: 28$ respectively, from integration of peaks at $\delta 3.15$ and 2.95 in its NMR spectrum (see below)] was triturated with cold dry ether $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give a colourless solid
which was separated and identified as the quinazolin $-4(3 H)$-one 10. The ether solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residual oil set aside for 3 days which resulted in its solidification. The white solid isolated by trituration with cold light petroleum ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was found to be the methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-2-(1-hydroxy-3-methylbutyl)aziridine-2carboxylate 27 (major diastereoisomer) ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$ ) giving colourless crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 359.185. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 359.185$ ); $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500 \mathrm{~s}$ $\mathrm{br}, 1740 \mathrm{~s}, 1675 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1[\mathrm{dd}, J c a .8$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $7.65[\mathrm{~m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4[\mathrm{ddd}, J 8,6.7$ and $1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $4.75(\mathrm{t}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}$, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 3.0$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ and azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92 (s, $\mathrm{CHOH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exch.), 2.0 (heptet, $J 6.8, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), $1.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right.$ overlapping $\left.\mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J 6.7$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; m / z(\%) 359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 11\right), 200(22), 189(29), 175$ (33), 174 (100), 73 (55), 131 (97), 130 (70), 103 (23) and 76 (26).

The light petroleum extract from the trituration above was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent. This gave the aziridine 28 (minor diastereoisomer) ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.17$ ) as a clear oil ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%$ ) (Found: M, 359.185. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 359.185$ ); $\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500 \mathrm{~m}, 1725 \mathrm{~s}$, 1675 s and $1610 \mathrm{w} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1[\mathrm{~d}, J$ ca. $8.1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.65[\mathrm{~m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$, $\mathrm{H}-8(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4$ [ddd, $J$ ca. 8.1, 6.3 and $1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J$ 10.1 and $3, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J 1$, azir. $3 x-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05 (q, J 7.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), 2.95 (d, $J$ 1, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), 1.95 (m, $\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), 1.75 (ddd, $J$ 14, 10.1 and $c a .4, \operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.6 (ddd, $J$ $c a .15, c a .10$ and $\left.3, \operatorname{Pr}{ }^{i} \mathrm{CH} H\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right)$ and 1.00 $\left(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 7.1\right.$ and ca. $\left.7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 167.18\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $155.99[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 155.43 \quad[\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 145.72 \quad[\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})]$, 134.46, $126.67, \quad 126.44, \quad 125.77 \quad[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], \quad 120.36$ $[C \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 70.44(\mathrm{CHOH}), 53.46$ (azir. C-2), $52.69\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 44.46 (azir. C-3), $41.71\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 24.46$ $\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right), 23.63,21.45\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $10.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right)$; $m / z(\%) 359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right), 302(25), 270(44), 214(21), 200(27), 189$ (33), 175 (37), 174 (10), 173 (52), 131 (79) and 130 (54).

## Aziridination of allylic alcohol 23

A solution of QNHOAc 2 was prepared as above using $1(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ and LTA ( 1.232 g ) in dry dichloromethane ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and allylic alcohol $23(0.58 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. After reaction and work-up, the crude product [containing a 3:1 ratio of aziridines 29:30 respectively, from integration comparison of signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.2$ and 3.15 in its NMR spectrum (see below)] was triturated with cold dry ether $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to free a colourless solid which was filtered off and found to be a 5:1 mixture of the major aziridine diastereoisomer 29 and quinazolin- $4(3 H)$-one $\mathbf{1 0}$. Crystallisation of the solid from ethanol gave methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-2-(1-hydroxybutyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 29 (major diastereoisomer) $(0.255 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \%)$ as colourless crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 62.25; H, 6.7; N, 12.0. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C, $62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.15 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500 \mathrm{~s}$ br, $1725 \mathrm{~s}, 1675 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1610 \mathrm{~s} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1$ [dd, $J 8$ and $\left.c a .1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})\right], 7.65[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{br}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.4$ [ddd, $J c a .8,6.7$ and $1,6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 4.65$ [m, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{OH}], 3.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.2(\mathrm{~d}, J 1$, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J$ 1, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right.$ and CHOH ), 1.75-1.4 (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $1.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right)$ and $1.00(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 166.79\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 159.97[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})]$, $155.24[\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 145.79[\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})], 133.60,126.62,126.29$, $125.75[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 120.40[\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 66.97(\mathrm{CHOH})$, 53.24 (azir. C-2), $52.79\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.07,34.87,26.91,18.80$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and azir. $\left.\mathrm{C}-3\right), 13.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Q}\right)$ and $10.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; m / z(\%) 345\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15\right), 270(27), 214$ (25), 200 (20), $189(40), 175$ (27), 174 (100), 173 (73), 131 (72), 130 (51), 119 (30), 113 (21) and 76 (28).
Attempted isolation of the minor aziridine diastereoisomer 30 by flash chromatography of the ether-soluble material after trituration above, was unsuccessful. Signals in the NMR spectrum of crude aziridination product above were assigned to
this minor diastereoisomer 30 at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.15$ (dd, $J 9.2$ and 3.5, CHOH ), 3.54 (s, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.15 ( s , azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ) and 3.07 ( s , azir. $3 \beta-H)$.

## Aziridination of allylic acetate 24

The allylic acetate $24(1.585 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to a solution of QNHOAc 2 prepared from $1(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and LTA ( 2.46 g ) in dry dichloromethane ( $16 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) as described above. After reaction and work-up the crude product was chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent to give a clear oil ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.16$ ) which, when triturated with cold dry diethyl ether ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), gave a white solid. Crystallisation of this solid from ethanol gave methyl 1-(2-ethyl-4-oxoquinazolin-3-yl)-2-(1-acetoxy-3-methylbutyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate 31 (major diastereoisomer) $(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%)$ as a colourless solid, $\mathrm{mp} 89-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 62.8; H, 6.8; N, 10.45. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C, $62.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.45 \%) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1740 \mathrm{~s}, 1680 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1600 s ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.05[\mathrm{~d}, J c a .8,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.44[\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}), 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 7.27$ [br m, $6-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})], 5.7$ (br d, J 9.1, CHOAc), 3.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.22 (s, azir. $3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.0 (br m, azir. $3 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ), 1.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHOCOCH}_{3}$ ), 1.67 (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}{ }_{2}$ ), 1.31 (br t, J6.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ ) and 0.89 (br m, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 169.81, 165.88 $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHCOCH}_{3}\right), 159.55[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 155.87[\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})]$, 145.66 [ $\mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})]$, 133.75, 126.58, 125.95, 125.85 [4× $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})], 120.76[\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})], 66.28\left(\mathrm{CHOCOCH}_{3}\right), 52.67$ $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.20$ (azir. C-2), 42.33 (azir. C-3 and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $39.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right), 26.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 24.51\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right), 23.32$ $\left(\mathrm{CHOCOCH}_{3}\right), 21.16,20.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$ and $10.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right) ; m / z(\%) 401\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 175(21), 174$ (100), 173 (28), 131 (30) and 130 (26).

The minor aziridine diastereoisomer 32 was not eluted from the column; its presence in the crude reaction product [from the signal in its NMR spectrum at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.12$ (see below)] could not be confirmed because of signals from impurities but the ratio of $31: 32$ is $>4: 1$.

## Acetylation of aziridine alcohols 27 and 28

A mixture of aziridine alcohols 27 and 28 (4.3:1 respectively) obtained as described above from $\mathbf{1}(1 \mathrm{~g})$, LTA ( 2.4 g ) and allylic alcohol $22(1.274 \mathrm{~g})$ in dichloromethane ( $11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was acetylated using the procedure given earlier for preparation of 8. After work-up, the crude product (containing a $4.6: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers $31: 32$ respectively, from comparison of the peaks in its NMR spectrum at $\delta 3.22$ and 3.12) was chromatographed over silica using light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $4: 1$ ) to give the major aziridine diastereoisomer ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.19 ) as colourless crystals ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ), mp $89-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from
ethanol) identical with the major aziridine diastereoisomer 31 from aziridination of allylic acetate $\mathbf{2 4}$ above.
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[^0]:    $\ddagger$ In frontier orbital terms, the Michael addition is a HOMO-(QNHOCAc)-LUMO(alkene) interaction.

